Improved outcomes for spinal versus general anesthesia for hip fracture surgery: a retrospective cohort study of the National Surgical Quality **Improvement Program**

Eliana R Weinstein 💿 , Richard B Boyer, Robert S White, Roniel Y Weinberg, Jacob M Lurie, Nicolas Salvatierra, Tiffany R Tedore 💿

ABSTRACT

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1136/rapm-2022-104217).

Department of Anesthesiology, NewYork-Presbyterian Weill Cornell Medical Center, New York, New York, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Tiffany R Tedore, Anesthesiology, NewYork-Presbyterian Weill Cornell Medical Center, New York 10065, New York, USA; tft9001@med.cornell.edu

Received 18 November 2022 Accepted 5 April 2023 Published Online First 2 May 2023

Background There is a lack of consensus in the literature as to whether anesthetic modality influences perioperative complications in hip fracture surgery. The aim of the present study was to assess the effect of spinal anesthesia compared with general anesthesia on postoperative morbidity and mortality in patients who underwent hip fracture surgery using data from the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS NSQIP).

Methods We used the ACS NSQIP to identify patients aged 50 and older who received either spinal or general anesthesia for hip fracture surgery from 2016 to 2019. Propensity-score matching was performed to control for clinically relevant covariates. The primary outcome of interest was the combined incidence of stroke, myocardial infarction (MI) or death within 30 days.

Secondary outcomes included 30-day mortality, hospital length of stay and operative time.

Results Among the 40 527 patients aged 50 and over who received either spinal or general anesthesia for hip fracture surgery from 2016 to 2019, 7358 spinal anesthesia cases were matched to general anesthesia cases. General anesthesia was associated with a higher incidence of combined 30-day stroke, MI or death compared with spinal anesthesia (OR 1.219 (95% CI 1.076 to 1.381); p=0.002). General anesthesia was also associated with a higher frequency of 30-day mortality (OR 1.276 (95% CI 1.099 to 1.481); p=0.001) and longer operative time (64.73 vs 60.28 min; p<0.001). Spinal anesthesia had a longer average hospital length of stay (6.29 vs 5.73 days; p=0.001).

Conclusion Our propensity-matched analysis suggests that spinal anesthesia as compared with general anesthesia is associated with lower postoperative morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing hip fracture surgery.

Check for updates

© American Society of Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine 2024. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Weinstein ER, Boyer RB, White RS, et al. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2024:49:4-9.

Over 300000 individuals undergo orthopedic surgery for hip fractures each year, the majority of whom are elderly. Following an initial decline¹ in the early 2000s with the introduction of modern diagnostics and therapeutics for osteoporosis, the incidence of hip fractures is likely to increase in the coming years given an aging population and reduced primary care visits during the COVID-19

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

 \Rightarrow There is conflicting evidence as to whether anesthetic modality influences perioperative complications in hip fracture surgery, with some studies reporting no difference, others reporting that general anesthesia is associated with a greater likelihood of adverse outcomes, and still others reporting the opposite finding for certain outcomes.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

 \Rightarrow We performed a large-scale, multicenter analysis to add to a growing body of literature assessing the effect of spinal anesthesia compared with general anesthesia on postoperative morbidity and mortality.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

 \Rightarrow The primary outcome of a combined incidence of stroke, myocardial infarction or death within 30 days was lower in the spinal anesthesia group, suggesting that spinal anesthesia may carry fewer risks to patients than general anesthesia. The implications of this paper have the potential to guide physicians in their decision for how to manage best this expanding patient population.

pandemic.² Surgery with early postoperative mobilization is the treatment of choice for most patients with hip fractures, but this management carries significant risk for perioperative morbidity and mortality. The likelihood of adverse outcomes following hip fracture surgery may depend on factors such as age, comorbidities and type of surgical procedure.^{3 4} Anesthetic technique, speciffactors such as age, comorbidities and type of surgical procedure.^{3 4} Anesthetic technique, specifically general versus spinal anesthesia, represents a parameter within the control of the physician and patient and can serve to mitigate negative surgical outcomes.

There is a lack of consensus in the literature as to whether anesthetic modality influences perioperative complications in hip fracture surgery. Some studies report no difference in rates of mortality at 30 days,⁵ the composite of death or an inability to walk at 60 days,⁶ 30-day major complications,⁷

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar

cognitive function,⁸ delirium^{6 9} or other complications (eg, surgical site infection, pneumonia and urinary tract infection)⁷ when comparing different anesthesia types used in hip fracture surgery. Meanwhile, others suggest that regional anesthesia results in better outcomes, including decreased rates of adverse events such as stroke, cardiac arrest, unplanned intubation, other minor adverse events,10 decreased operating room time10 and lower incidence and severity of pain¹¹ when compared with general anesthesia for these procedures. Still other sources posit that general anesthesia may be the preferred method for hip fracture surgery, reporting a decreased likelihood of postoperative complications in general versus regional anesthesia, and earlier postoperative mobilization.¹² These conflicting results pose difficulty to physicians attempting to develop anesthetic plans that minimize adverse outcomes for hip fracture cases.

Our study used population-based data from the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS NSQIP) Hip Fracture Targeted Participant Use File (PUF) to evaluate patients undergoing hip fracture surgery between the years 2016 and 2019, with the aim of comparing the effect of spinal anesthesia versus general anesthesia on postoperative morbidity and mortality. The primary outcome of interest was combined incidence of stroke, myocardial infarction (MI) and death within 30 days after surgery. We hypothesized that propensity-matched patients who received spinal anesthesia would be less likely to experience stroke, MI or death within 30 days than those who received general anesthesia.

METHODS

We performed a retrospective review of the ACS NSQIP Hip Fracture Targeted PUF, which includes perioperative data from the medical records of patients from over 700 enrolled hospitals and provides clinical outcome data 30 days postoperatively. In this database, patient demographics, comorbidities, intraoperative factors and 30-day outcomes data are collected from medical records and patient interviews by trained reviewers.¹³ This study was exempted from Institutional Review Board (IRB) review.

Study population

This study identified 40527 patients over the age of 50 who underwent surgery for hip fractures between 1 January 2016 and 31 December 2019. The ACS NSQIP Target Hip Fracture database includes Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes for Fracture and/or Dislocation Procedures on the Pelvis and Hip Joint (27236, 27244, 27245).¹³ All patients aged 50 and older who received spinal or general anesthesia were included. Exclusion criteria included age under 50 years and no report of the type of anesthesia or use of a type other than spinal or general anesthesia (eg, epidural anesthesia, combined spinal/epidural anesthesia). Additional exclusion criteria included patients with missing perioperative data.

Baseline patient characteristics collected from the registry included demographics, smoking history, steroid use, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, hip fracture type (undisplaced femoral neck fracture, displaced femoral neck fracture, intertrochanteric and subtrochanteric),¹³ functional status, emergent case and medical comorbidities including diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD), congestive heart failure, hypertension, acute renal failure, current dialysis, disseminated cancer, open wound, bleeding disorder, dementia and preoperative delirium. Functional status was defined as the patient's ability to perform the activities of daily living either independently or in a partially or completely dependent manner

within the 30 days before admission. Surgeries were classified as emergent if they were performed shortly after patient diagnosis or the onset of relevant preoperative symptoms, and for which a patient's well-being and outcome would have been potentially jeopardized if postponed.¹⁴

Outcomes

The primary outcome of interest was the combined incidence of stroke, MI or death at 30 days. Secondary outcomes included 30-day mortality, hospital length of stay and operative time. The following exploratory 30-day complications reported in NSQIP were included for assessment: stroke, MI, acute renal failure, cardiac arrest requiring cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), unplanned intubation, ventilator support for over 48 hours, Š deep vein thrombosis (DVT) or thrombophlebitis, pulmonary embolism (PE) and postoperative delirium.¹⁴ NSQIP evaluated copyright, including for uses postoperative delirium based on chart review, with descriptive words that included 'mental status change, confusion, disorientation, agitation, delirium, inappropriate behavior, inattention, hallucinations, combativeness (eg, pulling out lines or tubes) and so on'.¹⁵

Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics and comparison of baseline characteristics were performed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables and Pearson's χ^2 test for categorical variables; non-parametric equivalents were used when appropriate. Propensity scores were calculated with a logistic regression model that included all clinically relevant covariates that met a crude ť univariate χ^2 or t-test p<0.50 and had fewer than 15% of cases text missing data. Covariates included age, sex, body mass index, ASA score, diabetes, COPD, congestive heart failure, hypertension, acute kidney injury (AKI), cancer, bleeding disorder, dialysis, current smoker, chronic steroid use, open wound, data mining functional status, preoperative dementia, preoperative delirium, emergent case and CPT code. Nearest neighbor propensity-score matching was performed 1:1 with a caliper of 0.001 and without replacement.¹⁶ Covariate balance was assessed using the absolute standardized mean difference with < 0.1 indicating a negligible Al training, and similar technologies difference between groups. Outcome analysis was performed using conditional logistic regression for binary variables and Wilcoxon signed-rank test for continuous variables. A stratified analysis by ASA score was performed with independently evaluated propensity models. Standardized OR, 95% CIs and p values are reported. All statistical tests were two-sided and a p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata/SE (V.17.0).

RESULTS

Among the 40527 total cases in the 2016-2019 ACS NSQIP Targeted Hip Fracture database, 30680 (75.7%) patients were administered general anesthesia and 9847 (24.3%) received spinal anesthesia. Using 1:1 propensity-score matching, 7358 spinal anesthesia cases were successfully matched to a general anesthetic case (online supplemental figure S1). Covariate balance was confirmed with a maximum absolute standardized mean difference between groups of 0.02. The demographics and preoperative characteristics of the sample before and after propensity-score matching are reported in table 1.

Spinal anesthesia was associated with reduced adverse outcomes compared with general anesthesia in the matched groups. The primary outcome of combined 30-day stroke, MI or death occurred in 583 of 7358 patients (7.92%) who received

| | Before matching | | | | After matching | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Preoperative characteristics | Total (n=40 527) | Spinal (n=9847) | General (n=30 680) | P value | Total (n=14716) | Spinal (n=7358) | General (n=7358) | P value |
| Age (years), mean (SD) | 80.25 (9.73) | 81.79 (8.93) | 79.76 (9.93) | <0.001 | 81.32 (9.16) | 81.25 (9.14) | 81.40 (9.17) | 0.30 |
| Sex, female (n) | 68.84% (27 897) | 71.23% (7014) | 68.07% (20 883) | < 0.001 | 71.15% (10 471) | 71.23% (5241) | 71.08% (5230) | 0.84 |
| BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD) | 25.23 (5.84) | 24.53 (5.39) | 25.41 (5.95) | < 0.001 | 24.59 (5.39) | 24.58 (5.39) | 24.59 (5.38) | 0.90 |
| ASA class | | | | < 0.001 | | | | 0.99 |
| 1 | 0.60% (242) | 0.83% (82) | 0.52% (160) | | 0.74% (109) | 0.75% (55) | 0.73% (54) | |
| II | 15.23% (6173) | 16.72% (1646) | 14.76% (4527) | | 18.06% (2657) | 17.97% (1322) | 18.14% (1335) | |
| III | 62.43% (25 300) | 59.45% (5854) | 63.38% (19 446) | | 60.21% (8861) | 60.06% (4419) | 60.37% (4442) | |
| IV | 21.42% (8679) | 22.47% (2213) | 21.08% (6466) | | 20.66% (3041) | 20.90% (1538) | 20.43% (1503) | |
| V | 0.18% (71) | 0.19% (19) | 0.17% (52) | | 0.20% (29) | 0.19% (14) | 0.20% (15) | |
| None assigned | 0.15% (62) | 0.34% (33) | 0.09% (29) | | 0.13% (19) | 0.14% (10) | 0.12% (9) | |
| Diabetes (n) | 18.56% (7520) | 16.12% (1587) | 19.34% (5933) | < 0.001 | 16.06% (2364) | 16.53% (1216) | 15.60% (1148) | 0.13 |
| Smoker (n) | 11.53% (4673) | 10.40% (1024) | 11.89% (3649) | < 0.001 | 10.78% (1586) | 10.99% (809) | 10.56% (777) | 0.39 |
| COPD (n) | 10.50% (4256) | 10.82% (1065) | 10.40% (3191) | 0.24 | 11.35% (1670) | 11.44% (842) | 11.25% (828) | 0.72 |
| Congestive heart failure (n) | 3.71% (1505) | 3.21% (316) | 3.88% (1189) | 0.002 | 3.13% (460) | 3.19% (235) | 3.06% (225) | 0.64 |
| Hypertension (n) | 66.48% (26 944) | 62.67% (6171) | 67.71% (20 773) | < 0.001 | 63.20% (9300) | 63.37% (4663) | 63.02% (4637) | 0.66 |
| Acute renal failure (n) | 0.58% (236) | 0.37% (36) | 0.65% (200) | 0.001 | 0.33% (48) | 0.31% (23) | 0.34% (25) | 0.77 |
| Current dialysis (n) | 1.93% (784) | 1.23% (121) | 2.16% (663) | < 0.001 | 1.37% (201) | 1.30% (96) | 1.43% (105) | 0.52 |
| Disseminated cancer (n) | 3.32% (1347) | 2.72% (268) | 3.52% (1079) | < 0.001 | 2.87% (423) | 2.83% (208) | 2.92% (215) | 0.73 |
| Open wound (with or without infection) (n) | 3.67% (1487) | 2.37% (233) | 4.09% (1254) | < 0.001 | 2.36% (347) | 2.36% (174) | 2.35% (173) | 0.96 |
| Steroid use (n) | 5.63% (2283) | 4.94% (486) | 5.86% (1797) | < 0.001 | 4.98% (733) | 5.07% (373) | 4.89% (360) | 0.62 |
| Bleeding disorder (n) | 17.10% (6929) | 8.00% (788) | 20.02% (6141) | < 0.001 | 7.72% (1136) | 7.76% (571) | 7.68% (565) | 0.85 |
| Emergent case (n) | 31.69% (12 844) | 49.51% (4875) | 25.97% (7969) | < 0.001 | 45.04% (6628) | 44.86% (3301) | 45.22% (3327) | 0.67 |
| Functional status | | | | < 0.001 | | | | 0.96 |
| Independent (n) | 77.58% (31 441) | 77.66% (7647) | 77.56% (23 794) | | 79.06% (11 635) | 79.23% (5830) | 78.89% (5805) | |
| Partially dependent (n) | 18.86% (7644) | 18.23% (1795) | 19.06% (5849) | | 17.85% (2627) | 17.72% (1304) | 17.98% (1323) | |
| Totally dependent (n) | 3.02% (1223) | 3.32% (327) | 2.92% (896) | | 2.57% (378) | 2.54% (187) | 2.60% (191) | |
| Unknown (n) | 0.54% (219) | 0.79% (78) | 0.46% (141) | | 0.52% (76) | 0.50% (37) | 0.53% (39) | |
| Dementia (n) | 28.63% (11 603) | 30.80% (3033) | 27.93% (8570) | < 0.001 | 28.50% (4194) | 28.20% (2075) | 28.80% (2119) | 0.42 |
| Preoperative delirium (n) | 12.81% (5146) | 12.56% (1228) | 12.88% (3918) | 0.40 | 11.20% (1648) | 11.13% (819) | 11.27% (829) | 0.79 |
| Fracture type | | | | < 0.001 | | | | 0.028 |
| Femoral neck—undisplaced | 8.45% (3425) | 8.09% (797) | 8.57% (2628) | | 8.52% (1254) | 7.95% (585) | 9.09% (669) | |
| Femoral neck—displaced | 29.45% (11 937) | 31.78% (3129) | 28.71% (8808) | | 31.50% (4636) | 32.36% (2381) | 30.65% (2255) | |
| Intertrochanteric | 53.85% (21 823) | 52.14% (5134) | 54.40% (16 689) | | 51.90% (7638) | 51.52% (3791) | 52.28% (3847) | |
| Unknown | 2.04% (828) | 1.68% (165) | 2.16% (663) | | 1.95% (287) | 1.86% (137) | 2.04% (150) | |
| Subtrochanteric | 6.20% (2514) | 6.32% (622) | 6.17% (1892) | | 6.12% (901) | 6.31% (464) | 5.94% (437) | |

a: alpha level set at 0.05.

ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

general anesthesia and in 485 of 7358 patients (6.59%) who received spinal anesthesia (OR 1.219 (95% CI 1.076 to 1.381); p=0.002). Death within 30 days occurred in 412 patients (5.60%) in the general anesthesia group and 327 patients (4.44%) in the spinal anesthesia group (OR 1.276 (95% CI 1.099 to 1.481); p=0.001). There were no significant differences in stroke and MI. The general anesthesia group had a longer operative duration (64.73 vs 60.28 min; p<0.001) compared with the spinal anesthesia group. The spinal anesthesia group had a longer average hospital length of stay (6.29 vs 5.73 days; p=0.001).

Additional significant findings included 30-day acute renal failure (OR 2.077 (95% CI 1.072 to 4.025); p=0.027) and DVT or thrombophlebitis (OR 1.510 (95% CI 1.060 to 2.151); p=0.023); both of which were higher in the general anesthesia group. None of the other 30-day complications reached statistical significance after propensity-score matching (table 2).

Analysis revealed associations between ASA classification and certain outcomes. For patients with ASA score IV, combined

30-day stroke/MI/death rate was greater in the general anesthesia group than the spinal anesthesia group (OR 1.467 (95% CI 1.178 to 1.825); p < 0.001); this outcome was not significantly different between anesthetic modalities for the ASA I–II and ASA III cohorts. The ASA IV patients who received general anesthesia also showed increased rates of 30-day mortality (OR 1.482 (95% CI 1.163 to 1.887); p=0.002) and incidence of MI (OR 1.606 (95% CI 1.040 to 2.480); p=0.032); these outcomes were not statistically different between anesthetic modalities for the ASA I–II and ASA III cohorts. Patients who received general anesthesia had longer operative times when compared with patients who received spinal anesthesia in all three ASA cohorts. Total hospital length of stay did not vary significantly for the general and spinal anesthesia groups for the ASA score IV patients (table 3).

DISCUSSION

The results of this propensity-matched multicenter analysis suggest benefits to using spinal rather than general anesthesia

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

| Table 2 Surgical outcomes for patients undergoing spinal or general anesthesia for hip fracture surgery after propensity-score matching | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Outcomes | Total (n=14716) | Spinal (n=7358) | General (n=7358) | OR, general vs spinal (95% CI) | P value | | |
| Operative time (min), mean (SD) | 62.51 (36.82) | 60.28 (32.93) | 64.73 (40.22) | | <0.001 | | |
| Total hospital LOS (days), mean (SD) | 6.01 (10.67) | 6.29 (11.78) | 5.73 (9.42) | | 0.001 | | |
| 30-day complications, % (n) | | | | | | | |
| Combined stroke/MI/death rate | 7.26 (1068) | 6.59 (485) | 7.92 (583) | 1.219 (1.076 to 1.381) | 0.002 | | |
| Death | 5.02 (739) | 4.44 (327) | 5.60 (412) | 1.276 (1.099 to 1.481) | 0.001 | | |
| Stroke | 0.75 (110) | 0.76 (56) | 0.73 (54) | 0.964 (0.664 to 1.401) | 0.85 | | |
| Myocardial infarction | 2.09 (308) | 1.93 (142) | 2.26 (166) | 1.171 (0.935 to 1.468) | 0.17 | | |
| Reoperation | 2.01 (296) | 1.90 (140) | 2.12 (156) | 1.119 (0.887 to 1.411) | 0.35 | | |
| Acute renal failure | 0.27 (40) | 0.18 (13) | 0.37 (27) | 2.077 (1.072 to 4.025) | 0.027 | | |
| Cardiac arrest requiring CPR | 0.54 (80) | 0.49 (36) | 0.60 (44) | 1.229 (0.786 to 1.919) | 0.37 | | |
| Unplanned intubation | 0.80 (118) | 0.71 (52) | 0.90 (66) | 1.269 (0.882 to 1.825) | 0.20 | | |
| Ventilator support >48 hours | 0.35 (52) | 0.30 (22) | 0.41 (30) | 1.364 (0.787 to 2.364) | 0.27 | | |
| DVT or thrombophlebitis | 0.90 (132) | 0.72 (53) | 1.07 (79) | 1.510 (1.060 to 2.151) | 0.023 | | |
| Pulmonary embolism | 0.73 (108) | 0.77 (57) | 0.69 (51) | 0.893 (0.610 to 1.307) | 0.56 | | |
| Postoperative delirium | 25.58 (3765) | 25.80 (1898) | 25.37 (1867) | 0.978 (0.908 to 1.053) | 0.56 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

a: alpha level set at 0.05.

CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; LOS, length of stay; MI, myocardial infarction.

for hip fracture surgery. The primary outcome of a combined incidence of stroke, MI or death within 30 days was lower in the spinal anesthesia group, suggesting that spinal anesthesia may carry fewer risks to patients, especially those of higher ASA classification.

Patients who received spinal anesthesia had a lower incidence of many of the 30-day complications assessed, including a significantly reduced incidence of mortality, acute renal failure and DVT or thrombophlebitis.

The patients who received spinal anesthesia had a shorter operative duration than those who received general anesthesia. It is plausible that patients presenting with more surgically complex fractures or those whose procedures were anticipated to be lengthy were more likely to receive general anesthesia. It is also important to note that the absolute difference in surgical duration between the groups was small and therefore may not be the most clinically relevant factor when deciding appropriate anesthesia type.

The patients who were administered spinal anesthesia had a longer average hospital length of stay relative to those who received general anesthesia. This is in contrast to other studies demonstrating shorter hospital lengths of stay for patients undergoing spinal anesthesia.¹⁷ The reasons behind this cannot be elucidated from this retrospective study but could be related to many factors such as data misclassification or unidentified clinical factors.

A stratified analysis by ASA score revealed that the effect of anesthetic type on the primary outcome of combined incidence

| Table 3 Outcomes for Patients who received general or spinal anesthesia for hip fracture surgery, stratified by ASA score | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|
| | ASA I–II (n=2706) | | ASA III (n=8806) | | ASA IV (n=2878) | |
| Outcome | General vs spinal (mean) | P value | General vs spinal (mean) | P value | General vs spinal (mean) | P value |
| Operative time (min) | 67.12 vs 62.25 | <0.001 | 64.77 vs 60.31 | <0.001 | 61.48 vs 58.99 | 0.048 |
| Total hospital LOS (days) | 4.78 vs 5.39 | 0.004 | 10.95 vs 6.23 | 0.018 | 6.46 vs 7.11 | 0.28 |
| Outcome | OR (general vs spinal) (95% CI) | P value | OR (general vs spinal) (95% Cl) | P value | OR (general vs spinal) (95% Cl) | P value |
| 30-day complications | | | | · | | |
| Combined stroke/MI/death rate | 1.103 (0.668 to 1.824) | 0.71 | 1.061 (0.891 to 1.264) | 0.51 | 1.467 (1.178 to 1.825) | <0.001 |
| Death | 1.8 (0.831 to 3.899) | 0.14 | 1.190 (0.963 to 1.470) | 0.11 | 1.482 (1.163 to 1.887) | 0.002 |
| Stroke | 1.125 (0.434 to 2.916) | 0.81 | 0.806 (0.494 to 1.314) | 0.40 | 1.857 (0.741 to 4.655) | 0.20 |
| Myocardial infarction | 0.647 (0.303 to 1.381) | 0.25 | 0.940 (0.690 to 1.280) | 0.69 | 1.606 (1.040 to 2.480) | 0.032 |
| Reoperation | 1.529 (0.830 to 2.819) | 0.17 | 1.080 (0.807 to 1.446) | 0.60 | 1.353 (0.868 to 2.108) | 0.17 |
| Acute renal failure | Not calculated | | 5.0 (1.096 to 22.820) | 0.021 | 1.182 (0.529 to 2.638) | 0.68 |
| Cardiac arrest requiring CPR | 3.0 (0.312 to 28.841) | 0.32 | 1.70 (0.979 to 2.953) | 0.056 | 1.214 (0.599 to 2.463) | 0.59 |
| Unplanned intubation | 2.5 (0.784 to 7.971) | 0.11 | 1.407 (0.859 to 2.305) | 0.18 | 1.278 (0.690 to 2.368) | 0.44 |
| Ventilator support >48 hours | 0.667 (0.111 to 3.990) | 0.65 | 1.455 (0.675 to 3.134) | 0.34 | 1.50 (0.613 to 3.670) | 0.37 |
| DVT or thrombophlebitis | 2.571 (1.074 to 6.156) | 0.027 | 1.611 (1.063 to 2.442) | 0.024 | 1.667 (0.729 to 3.808) | 0.22 |
| Pulmonary embolism | 1.667 (0.606 to 4.586) | 0.34 | 1.083 (0.689 to 1.704) | 0.73 | 1.0 (0.449 to 2.226) | 1.00 |
| Postoperative delirium | 0.908 (0.731 to 1.126) | 0.39 | 0.945 (0.860 to 1.037) | 0.23 | 1.083 (0.929 to 1.262) | 0.30 |
| | | | | | | |

a: alpha level set at 0.05.

b: OR not calculated. Insufficient variation to calculate.

ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; LOS, length of stay; MI, myocardial infarction.

text

and

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

of stroke, MI or death within 30 days was greatest for patients with higher ASA score, with ASA IV classification being associated with higher odds for major morbidity and mortality outcomes. ASA score has been shown to be strongly associated with mortality in hip fracture patients,^{18 19} and this finding poses a potential mitigating factor for reducing adverse events in this vulnerable patient population.

The present study has several limitations to consider. One limitation inherent to NSQIP is that patients are only assessed for 30 days following surgery, and adverse outcomes after that point are not assessed. Another limitation is incomplete patient data, which has been cited in the past as a limitation of the NSQIP dataset.²⁰ Race was unknown or not reported in 4875 (33.13%) of the patients in our study, and therefore could not be incorporated into propensity-score model without reducing the sample size and model statistical power substantially. The dataset did not include patients who received total hip arthroplasty for hip fracture, and thus our analysis was missing a common surgical procedure for patients with hip fracture. There was also missing data on fracture type, with 828 (2.04%) of patients having that data point unknown. Our initial propensity-score matching, therefore, did not take into account fracture type. Furthermore, even though matching was used to control for differences, unrecognized residual confounding could have affected our results. This study only compared the effects of spinal and general anesthesia and neglected other modalities such as nerve blocks and combined spinal/epidural anesthesia. Due to the nature of the retrospective review design, patients were not randomly assigned and selection bias that might lead physicians to opt for one technique over another might confound the results.

Randomized control trials (RCTs) offer the potential to complement our understanding of the risks and benefits of various anesthesia modalities in hip fracture patients. Two recent RCTs comparing spinal to general anesthesia were recently published in November 2021 and January 2022. The investigators of Regional versus General Anesthesia for Promoting Independence After Hip Fracture (REGAIN) found that spinal anesthesia was not superior to general anesthesia in 1600 patients undergoing hip fracture surgery in terms of a primary outcome of the composite of death or an inability to ambulate at 60 days, although spinal anesthesia did result in a lower incidence of the exploratory outcomes of death during hospitalization, AKI and postoperative critical care admission. The Effect of Regional vs General Anesthesia on Incidence of Postoperative Delirium in Older Patients Undergoing Hip Fracture Surgery (RAGA) trial (950 patients) found that anesthesia type did not significantly affect the incidence of postoperative delirium.²¹ Both REGAIN and RAGA recruited patients who were substantially younger and of lower ASA classification than those in this or other large database studies.²²⁻²⁴ The results of our stratified analysis raise the possibility that the benefits of spinal anesthesia may be realized only in the sickest patients, who are least likely to participate in prospective trials. There are two additional RCTs currently being conducted to evaluate outcomes in hip fracture patients receiving spinal and general anesthesia. The registered Comparing Regional and General Anaesthesia and Their Effect on Delirium in Patients with Hip Fractures (REGARD) trial will be the third of its kind to assess delirium,²⁵ and the Improve Hip Fracture Outcome in the Elderly Patient (iHOPE) trial will assess overall mortality and the new occurrence of serious cardiac and pulmonary complications 30 days after surgery.²⁶ These studies offer the potential to further influence the debate surrounding anesthetic type but care should be taken in any future trials to enroll patients

consistent with the hip fracture population at large in order to yield generalizable results.

Conclusions

Our findings suggest benefits to spinal anesthesia over general anesthesia for hip fracture surgery, particularly in patients who have a higher ASA classification. A growing literature on anesthetic modality can guide physicians in their decision for how to best manage this important and expanding patient population.

Twitter Richard B Boyer @drrichardboyer and Robert S White @RobertWhiteMD

Contributors RBB and NS conceived the original study question. ERW, RBB, RSW, RYW, and TRT conceived and designed the study. RBB retrieved and analyzed the data. ERW and RBB directly accessed and verified the underlying data reported in the manuscript. ERW and TRT wrote the manuscript with support from RBB, RSW, RYL, and JML. TRT helped supervise the project. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. TRT is responsible for the overall content as guarantor, accepts full responsibility for the finished work and conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish.

Funding This research is supported in part by the National Institute on Aging, a component of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), through grant number 5R03AG074070-02. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH. Dr. White is the recipient of FAER Grant ID MRTG-08-15-2021-White (Robert).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available. The data are deidentified participant data from the ACS NSQIP Hip Fracture Targeted PUF. An individual who wants to obtain access to the ACS NSQIP PUF may do so by visiting www.facs.org/quality-programs/acs-nsqip, clicking the "Request PUF" link, and following the steps listed on the PUF request page.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

ORCID iDs

Eliana R Weinstein http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1438-1575 Tiffany R Tedore http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9631-6389

REFERENCES

- Michael Lewiecki E, Wright NC, Curtis JR, et al. Hip fracture trends in the United States, 2002 to 2015. Osteoporos Int 2018;29:717–22.
- 2 Veronese N, Maggi S. Epidemiology and social costs of hip fracture. *Injury* 2018;49:1458–60.
- 3 Biçen Ç, Akdemir M, Türken MA, et al. Analysis of risk factors affecting mortality in elderly patients operated on for hip fractures: a retrospective comparative study. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2021;55:493–9.
- 4 Karademir G, Bilgin Y, Erşen A, et al. Hip fractures in patients older than 75 years old: retrospective analysis for prognostic factors. Int J Surg 2015;24(Pt A):101–4.
- 5 Rodkey DL, Pezzi A, Hymes R. Effects of spinal anesthesia in geriatric hip fracture: a propensity-matched study. J Orthop Trauma 2022;36:234–8.
- 6 Neuman MD, Feng R, Carson JL, et al. Spinal anesthesia or general anesthesia for hip surgery in older adults. N Engl J Med 2021;385:2025–35.
- 7 Yap E, Wei J, Webb C, et al. Neuraxial and general anesthesia for outpatient total joint arthroplasty result in similarly low rates of major perioperative complications: a multicentered cohort study. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2022;47:294–300.
- 8 Tzimas P, Samara E, Petrou A, et al. The influence of anesthetic techniques on postoperative cognitive function in elderly patients undergoing hip fracture surgery: general vs spinal anesthesia. *Injury* 2018;49:2221–6.
- 9 Patel V, Champaneria R, Dretzke J, et al. Effect of regional versus general anaesthesia on postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing surgery for hip fracture: a systematic review. BMJ Open 2018;8:e020757.

- 10 Basques BA, Toy JO, Bohl DD, et al. General compared with spinal anesthesia for total hip arthroplasty. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery* 2015;97:455–61.
- 11 Greimel F, Maderbacher G, Zeman F, *et al.* No clinical difference comparing general, regional, and combination anesthesia in hip arthroplasty: a multicenter cohort-study regarding perioperative pain management and patient satisfaction. *The Journal of Arthroplasty* 2017;32:3429–33.
- 12 Whiting PS, Molina CS, Greenberg SE, et al. Regional anaesthesia for hip fracture surgery is associated with significantly more peri-operative complications compared with general anaesthesia. *International Orthopaedics (SICOT*) 2015;39:1321–7.
- 13 American College of Surgeons. User guide for the 2019 ACS NSQIP procedure targeted participant use data file (PUF). Available: https://www.facs.org/media/ 4m1eod3p/pt_nsqip_puf_userguide_2019.pdf [Accessed 21 Dec 2022].
- 14 User guide for the 2019 ACS NSQIP participant use data file (PUF). American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. Available: https://www. facs.org/media/isko30q1/nsqip_puf_userguide_2019.pdf [Accessed 9 Dec 2022].
- 15 Harris MJ, Brovman EY, Urman RD. Clinical predictors of postoperative delirium, functional status, and mortality in geriatric patients undergoing non-elective surgery for hip fracture. J Clin Anesth 2019;58:61–71.
- 16 Staffa SJ, Zurakowski D. Five steps to successfully implement and evaluate propensity score matching in clinical research studies. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 2018;127:1066–73.
- 17 Van Waesberghe J, Stevanovic A, Rossaint R, et al. General vs. neuraxial anaesthesia in hip fracture patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Anesthesiol 2017;17:87.

- 18 Ek S, Meyer AC, Hedström M, et al. Comorbidity and the association with 1-year mortality in hip fracture patients: can the ASA score and the Charlson comorbidity index be used interchangeably? Aging Clin Exp Res 2022;34:129–36.
- 19 Haugan K, Klaksvik J, Foss OA. 30-Day mortality in patients after hip fracture surgery: a comparison of the Charlson comorbidity index score and ASA score used in two prediction models. *Injury* 2021;52:2379–83.
- 20 Basques BA, McLynn RP, Lukasiewicz AM, et al. Missing data may lead to changes in hip fracture database studies: a study of the American College of surgeons national surgical quality improvement program. Bone Joint J 2018;100-B:226–32.
- 21 Li T, Li J, Yuan L, et al. Effect of regional vs general anesthesia on incidence of postoperative delirium in older patients undergoing hip fracture surgery: the RagA randomized trial. JAMA 2022;327:50–8.
- 22 Neuman MD, Silber JH, Elkassabany NM, et al. Comparative effectiveness of regional versus general anesthesia for hip fracture surgery in adults. *Anesthesiology* 2012;117:72–92.
- 23 Physicians. Data from: national hip fracture database extended report 2014. London, 2014.
- 24 White SM, Tedore T, Shelton CL. There is (probably) NO (meaningful) difference in (most) outcomes between "spin" and "genal" anaesthesia for hip fracture surgery: time to move forward. *Br J Anaesth* 2023;130:385–9.
- 25 Yeung J. n.d. Regard: comparing regional and general anaesthesia and their effect on delirium in patients with hip fractures.
- 26 Kowark A, Adam C, Ahrens J, et al. Improve hip fracture outcome in the elderly patient (ihope): a study protocol for a pragmatic, multicentre randomised controlled trial to test the efficacy of spinal versus general anaesthesia. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e023609.